UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,569	01/02/2002	Philip Atkin	GJEL:0003 5846		
Michael G. Flet	7590 04/13/200 cher	EXAMINER			
	& Van Someren	AGGARWAL, YOGESH K			
P.O. Box 692289 Houston, TX 77269-2289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Symmothy		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/038,56	69	ATKIN, PHILIP				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		YOGESH	K. AGGARWAL	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 January 200	9					
-		This action is n						
3)	<i>'</i> —	-		secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	idoi Ex parto da	ay,0, 1000 0. D . 11, 10	,0 0.0.210.				
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from co	nsideration.					
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
•			Objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
		• , ,	•	` '	FR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	18)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner's response:

2. Applicant argues with regards to claim 1 that the meaning of "a linear response" as the recitation would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. Present embodiments are directed to producing a response to light intensity that is linear over its whole range: that is, if one plotted it, the graph would be a single straight line through the origin. The only reason the term "substantially" linear is used is because there are bound to be minor imperfections due to noise (in the "real world"). The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Krymski teaches in figure 4 that the combination is a straight line representation. Even though the combination shows two different straight lines, each part of the line is individually linear. Therefore the claimed recitation "output is substantial linear representation of the brightness of an image" is taught in Krymski. Krymski reference teaches obtaining a substantial linear representation of the brightness of an image after the images are combined. Applicant is arguing "Present embodiments are directed to producing a response to light intensity that is linear over its whole range: that is, if one plotted it, the graph would be a single straight line through the origin" and not claiming this. As suggested by the Examiner in the previous action the applicant should claim the invention to cover the fact that the image is linear for the whole range of brightness values in order to overcome Krymski's reference. The term "linear response" as applicant has argued is not necessarily the only definition understood by one skilled in the art. Even in the applicant's specification, the term "linear response" has not been defined or

Art Unit: 2622

explained. Therefore one skilled in the art is free to interpret the term "linear response" as taught by Krymski.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mann (US Patent # 5,828,793) in view of Krymski (US Patent # 7,209,166).

[Claim 1]

Mann discloses a method of creating an image with a still video camera (col. 11 lines 43-46, figure 8, element 202). Mann further teaches that the image is transferred to a computer to be stored on a main memory 210 represented as 212₁, 212₂, 213₃ etc. (col. 11 lines 46-54). Mann also teaches that the composite images formed from a series of input images wherein every pixel of the composite image is drawn from the corresponding pixel in each of the input source images according to a weighted average. The weighting is based on a certainty function associated with each source image pixel corresponding to an output pixel in the final composite image. The value of the relevant pixel parameter for a given final-image pixel (weighted average of n samples) is given by

$$\sum_{n} c_{n} P_{n} / \sum_{n} c_{n}$$

Art Unit: 2622

where c_n is the certainty function associated with the corresponding pixel of each source image n (col. 6 line 51-col. 7 line 8). It is noted that P_n (pixel parameter) is dependent upon exposure time, brightness or luminance and the gain of the system. Mann teaches that the resulting pixel image represented by the expression above is saved in a target buffer 250 whose contents are shown on screen display 234 (col. 12 lines 32-49). The features such as gamma correction (other image data) are also stored in the target image data (col. 13 lines 4-8).

Mann fails to teach explicitly obtaining a substantially linear representation of the image by combining two images. However Krymski teaches to write the image signal into the memory twice, first after short integration and then after long integration. Thus, after two operations of sampling, the resulted voltage in the memory will be a linear superposition of the two signals representing bright and dark image (Col. 3 lines 2-9, figures 1 and 3). Fig. 4 clearly teaches that combined signal is a substantial linear representation of the brightness (light intensity) of the image by combining two images. It is noted that that in order to obtain a wide dynamic range image the two long and short exposure images are combined so that the final image provides increased highlight detail despite the limited response of the system that produced the component images

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Mann and Krymski, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have obtained a substantially linear representation of the image by summing two images in order to obtain a wide dynamic range image so that the final image provides increased highlight detail despite the limited response of the system that produced the component images.

[Claim 3/1]

Art Unit: 2622

Mann teaches that the different images are color so that the offset will be color dependent (col. 13 lines 21-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2 and 3/2 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest a linear relationship is established between images recorded with different exposure times by the use of a perpendicular regression technique whereby each image is transformed to match the scale and offset of the first in the series and whereby the weighted average is calculated.

6. Claim 3/2 is dependent upon claim 2.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH K. AGGARWAL whose telephone number is

(571)272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571)-272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YKA

April 8, 2009

/M. Lee/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622